

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/790,402	Applicant(s) HILBERT ET AL.	
	Examiner UYEN T. LE	Art Unit 2163	

All Participants:

(1) UYEN T. LE.

(2) Justas Geringson (Reg. No. 57,033).

Date of interview: 25 April 2008

Type of interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.
(4) _____.

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
N/A

Claims discussed:
1, 2, 8, 9, 17, 19-21, 23, 26, 27, 67, 72-75, 80-83, 86-88

Prior art documents discussed:
N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant authorizes amendment to claims 1, 2, 8, 9, 17, 19-21, 23, 26, 27, 67, 72-75, 80-83, 86-88 by an examiner's amendment to clarify the claimed subject matter and place the case in condition for allowance.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Uyen T. Le/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2163

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)